Distributed Tracking with Multiple Sensors for Augmented Reality

1. Workshop "Virtuelle und Erweiterte Realität" der GI-Fachgruppe AR/VR

> Martin Wagner Lehrstuhl für Angewandte Softwaretechnik Fachgebiet Augmented Reality Institut für Informatik Technische Universität München martin.wagner@in.tum.de





Tracking Everywhere

- Ubiquitous Computing (UbiComp) blends computing devices into background
- Augmented Reality (AR) enriches user's experience of real world with virtual information
- Vision: make ubiquitous computing power accessible with an AR-based user interface
- Tracking requirements for AR (real time, high accuracy) and UbiComp (distribution, large scale) differ
- Goal of my work: define system that concurrently fulfills all requirements

Distributed Tracking with Multiple Sensors for AR Martin Wagner



- Motivation
- Formal Model: Ubiquitous Tracking
- Distributed Implementation Concept
 - Why peer to peer?
 - Distribution strategy
 - Searching optimal tracking inferences
- Current Implementation
- Conclusion & Future Work



Formal Model: Spatial Relationship Graphs

- Use directed graph to model spatial relationships
- Nodes are objects, edges are spatial relationships
- In reality, all relationships exist
- In practice, only some are known, but just estimates are possible
- Quality of estimates can be described using *attributes*, examples: latency, tracker error







Formal Model: Inferences

- Spatial relationships are inherently *transitive*
- New relationships can be inferred
- Attribute propagation necessary for correct description of inferred relationships' quality
- Another inference: symmetric relationships, i.e. inversion of edge direction in SR graph







Formal Model: Evaluation Function

- Idea: find optimal inference by detecting all paths in SR graphs between two nodes representing objects
- Apply application-provided evaluation function to this path
- By convention, path with minimum evaluation function value represents optimal inference
- Edgewise eval function's value is the sum of the involved edges' eval function's values
- Enables standard shortest path algorithms to detect optimal inference



Distributed Tracking with Multiple Sensors for AR Martin Wagner



- Motivation
- Formal Model: Ubiquitous Tracking
- Distributed Implementation Concept
 - Why peer to peer?
 - Distribution strategy
 - Searching optimal tracking inferences
- Current Implementation
- Conclusion & Future Work



Implementation: Challenges

- Map formal model on distributed runtime components
 - Store complete representation of SR graph
 - Compute optimal path according to given evaluation function between any two nodes (i.e. compute a shortest path in case of edgewise eval function)
 - Add/remove edges/nodes of SR graph at runtime, triggering recomputation of shortest paths
- Efficiency of runtime communication to fulfil realtime requirements of AR
- Scalability to allow large scale UbiComp applications



Why Peer to Peer?

- Allow ad-hoc connections of mobile setups
 - Use stationary equipment for mobile user's applications
 - Use mobile users' equipment (e.g. cameras, accelerometers) for stationary infrastructure, thus enhancing tracking accuracy for other applications
 - Allow two mobile setups to connect without external help
- Make mobile setup self-contained
 - Mobile users should have some tracking results without stationary infrastructure
- No single point of failure
 - Important for security critical applications (e.g. fire or earthquakes)

Distributed Tracking with Multiple Sensors for AR Martin Wagner



Distribution Strategy

- Every network node holds only information about locally available SR subgraph
- Keep connections to all other network nodes with adjacent edges in SR graph
- Find adjacent network nodes with standard service discovery algorithms (e.g. SLP, Jini)



10

Searching Optimal Inferences

- Prerequisites:
 - Edgewise eval function
 - Nodes in SR graph have unique ID, bootstrapping necessary for *anonymous nodes*
 - Detect network node hosting given SR graph node
- Distributed algorithm
 - Asynchronous variant of Bellman-Ford shortest path algorithm
 - Based on message passing between network nodes
 - Result: optimal path between two nodes

- Runtime infrastructure then can set up an *inference component* aggregating data along this path
- Complexity analysis:
 - Worst case: exponential in number of network nodes
 - Synchronized variant: polynomial complexity
 - But: in practice, number of nodes clearly limited, due to environmental constraints (e.g. consider only all trackers in single room)



- Motivation
- Formal Model: Ubiquitous Tracking
- Distributed Implementation Concept
 - Why peer to peer?
 - Distribution strategy
 - Searching optimal tracking inferences
- Current Implementation
- Conclusion & Future Work



Current Implementation

- Based on DWARF middleware [MacWilliams, Decentralized Coordination of Distributed Interdependent Services]
 - DWARF service location used for SR graph/network node localization
 - Tracking and inference components modelled as DWARF services
- Small setup
 - 50 SR graph nodes (i.e. objects)
 - 5 network nodes
 - Setup time in range of seconds
- Handle changes in graph topology by recomputation of shortest paths at fixed intervals





- Motivation
- Formal Model: Ubiquitous Tracking
- Distributed Implementation Concept
 - Why peer to peer?
 - Distribution strategy
 - Searching optimal tracking inferences
- Current Implementation
- Conclusion & Future Work



Conclusions

- Formal model can be used to treat all multitracker setups uniformly
- Implementation shows that approach is feasible and can be implemented
- Limitations
 - Depends on highly efficient service location (open research problem)
 - Assumes that graph topology and edge attributes change much less frequently than spatial relationships, otherwise suboptimal inferences occur
 - Works on small to medium scale setups only, due to exponential complexity of shortest path search

Distributed Tracking with Multiple Sensors for AR Martin Wagner



27.09.2004



Future Work

- Implement larger setup
- Integrate locale concept into graph search, i.e. partition graph according to spatial entities
- Identify anonymous nodes in SR graph, e.g. features identified by a natural feature tracker



Thank you!

• Any questions?



17